Impact of Fragmentation Issue in Construction Industry: An Overview
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Abstract. In general, fragmentation within the construction industry arises from two areas within the traditional construction process; the construction work process where the most significant division is in the separation of the design and construction phase, and the construction structure itself. The fragmentation process in traditional contracting practice further hinders the integration of construction knowledge among contractors, diminishing the opportunity for them to influence design decisions. When design professionals fail to consider as to how a contractor would construct the designed project results in scheduling problems, delays, and disputes during the construction process. Moving towards team integration is considered a significant strategy for overcoming the issue. Accordingly, this paper discusses the fragmentation issue in more detail including its definition, and causes and effects to the construction projects. It also explores that the team integration strategy alleviates scheduling problems, and helps avoid delays and disputes during the construction process, preventing harm to overall project performance.

1 Introduction

The construction industry is a complex and dynamic industrial sector. The construction industry entails many players at various stages; the construction organisation, primarily, encompassing functions such as planning, design, construction, and maintenance. The stakeholders mainly including client, designer, contractor, and manufacturer are involved from the start till completion of the project. Previous researchers revealed that traditional construction project delivery practice generated many problems associated with fragmentation, such as; isolation of professionals, lack of coordination between design and construction, and as it is carried out in a sequential manner. Typically, the separation of the design and construction process in traditional contracting practice (design-bid-build) further hinders the integration of construction knowledge among contractors, diminishing the opportunity for them to influence design decisions [1]. Failure of design professionals to consider how a contractor will construct the design can result in scheduling problems, delays and disputes during the construction process [2]. More importantly however, opportunities to reduce the schedule failings, improve the functionality of the final product, and reduce costs are missed when construction is separated from planning and engineering [3]. Therefore, how to effectively incorporate construction requirements and knowledge at an early stage of the project (design process) is paramount and undoubtedly leads to an overall improvement in project performance [4, 5].
Modern problems of economic security are associated with the emergence of its new challenges and threats [6]. Several researchers consider economic security as a system that reflects basic conditions and factors of economic development in its structure [7, 8]. Many researchers [9, 10, 11] noted that the aim of integration in the construction is to promote a working environment where information is freely exchanged between the different participants. Although this issue is critical and significantly affects the efficiency and effectiveness of project performance; however, it still has a limitation of particular research that focuses on this issue.

Even though the construction sector continues to play an essential commercial role in the Malaysian economy, where it lends strength and capability to a host of economic activities, whilst supporting the social development of the country through the provision of basic infrastructure, such as the booming hospitality sector constructions that can be seen from the number of hotel establishments in Malaysia which has increased from 1492 units in 2000 to 2724 units in 2012 [12]. Fragmentation is a formidable barrier to improved return on investment. In addition, various influencing economic determinants have presented sizeable challenges to the Malaysian construction industry, especially in the enhancement of productivity on the low and unreliable rate of profitability. Though, the traditional management, key indicators are sales (product profitability) and market share [13]. Companies are conscious and constantly monitoring their 'Liquidity Ratios' as well. It takes a special assessment of the profitability of various customer groups on the basis of accumulated statistics on the relationship with them and the specific conditions of contracting [14]. The main problems of customer directed business are connected, firstly, with the change of understanding of the value of the client (customer) for the company and secondly, with change in understanding the value of marketing to the business itself [15]. Customers are represented as company's assets and studies show that expenses for customer retention have stronger impact on the financial value of the company than the actual financial instruments (such as the cost of capital) [16]. Since value of customers for the company, can greatly differ among different groups, specifically the definition of a combination of loyalty and customer profitability for the company in the long run allows you to mark out the so-called golden customers; and vice versa – to identify such customers, to maximize the satisfaction of who is not necessary because their yield is low for the company [17]. Furthermore, the construction industry has become very complicated given the political and business trends that are exerting additional economic pressure [18]. Accordingly, this paper explores and discusses the issue of fragmentation comprehensively.

2 Methodology

Wisconsin [58] has aptly opined that a thorough literature review is a “critical analysis of a segment of a published body of knowledge through summary, classification, and comparison of prior research studies, reviews of literature, and theoretical articles.” This is precisely what this paper intends to present.

Through the literature review, the definition relating to fragmentation, categories, causes, and implication of this issue to the Industrialised Building System (IBS) in the construction industry is examined and highlighted. All the data and information gathered directly from libraries, books, articles, and other printed materials searched in the international and national journals, proceeding and bulletin. This literature review is very important and helpful in the process of developing for the theoretical sections of the actual research.

3 Discussions: Fragmentation Issue

Definition and Clarification of Fragmentation: The term fragmentation can be defined in terms of the number of firms/specialists involved in construction projects, and in terms of its effects on the multiple processes in construction projects. In the context of the construction industry, [19] defined
fragmentation as: “the division resulting from the increasing number of both professions (i.e. architect, engineer) and organizations involved in all processes of a building project. This has been caused by the growing demand for differentiation and specialization as building projects increase in both size and complexity.” There are two main forms of fragmentation in the construction industry; internal fragmentation and external fragmentation [19]. Internal fragmentation refers to the problem of integration and coordination between different alliance organizations (e.g. client, consultant) while external fragmentation refers to the involvement of non-alliance organization (e.g. local authority) at different stages of the design process. The following section will discuss the cause factors of fragmentation in greater detail.

The Impact of Fragmentation Issue - Separation of Design and Construction: Fundamentally, fragmentation is inherent in the traditional contract strategy (procurement) that is characterized by a lack of a sense of identity, promoting a confrontational culture and a lack of feedback loops or coordination between the design and construction [19, 20, 21, 22, 23, 24, 25]. Furthermore, the traditional design and construction process is conducted in a sequential manner and is constructed of segregated professionals (lack of interaction between contractors and designers) during the design and construction phase. This scenario often results in inefficiencies during the construction phase such as increased project complexity, rework, increasing costs and longer construction duration [26]. This type of approach has resulted in the construction industry being labeled as having a lack of continuity, thus hindering the formation of effective teams which then resulted in inefficiencies in the project delivery process [27, 28, 29, 20, 30, 31]. An example fragmentation practice in the current traditional construction design practice is shown in Figure 1.

Furthermore, the sequential nature of construction activities is highly embedded in construction processes and seems to override itself in all new procurement methods e.g. strategic alliances and new methods of team working such as virtual teams [19]. For example, design partners within project alliances are restricted to take part in the design unless they are commissioned by the client. The Egan Report [22] was highly critical of the sequential nature of construction processes which often acts as an effective barrier to using the skills and knowledge of all project partners effectively in the design and planning of the project. Previous reports [31, 33] argued that input from other experts, such as mechanical and engineering design/construction professionals, as well as facility management expertise was needed during the early stage of a project. In addition, the gap between design and construction processes also contributes to ‘major behavioural, cultural and organisational differences between project individuals and groups [28].

For example, the current industry structure has the potential for conflict when participants try to pass on the risk to others within the work [34]. During the design and construction stage for example, it is clearly shown in the diversification of the goals of the designers and builders where “the designer wants a functional design that reflects his philosophy and the builder wants a buildable product within reasonable risk limitations” [35].

In an organisational context, this separation system extends into the various sub construction processes especially affecting relationships in large construction projects [36]. Fragmentation of organisation interface, this happens frequently and is considered to be one of the weaknesses of current procurement processes especially in the traditional method [28]. This fragmentation of organisation interface occurring within the traditional procurement method (design-bid-build) has been revealed as having a tendency towards adversarial relationships [37, 28] and it could be viewed
as one of the ‘fragmentation, friction and mistrust’ circumstances [38]. This fragmented traditional approach will also create some related problems such as inadequate capture, structuring, prioritization and implementation of client needs; occurrence of late and costly design changes and unnecessary liability claims, occurring as a result of the above; and characterization of the design process with a rigid sequence of activity [11, 26, 39, 42].

Lack of Communication in the Supply Chain: Poor communication has been widely recognized as a major problem faced by the construction industry [40]. As has been observed by many researchers, this problem arises from the fragmented nature of the industry during design and is exacerbated by differences in language or the communicating culture itself [41]. Communication problems arise typically at the contractor-subcontractor-architect design interfaces [43]. The flow of essential information between the relevant parties is very limited. Furthermore, [44] identified that the level of communication between the main contractor and sub-contractors and interaction between the specialists within traditional project delivery procurement is extremely low especially during the design phase. As stated by [45], successful design performance of large multi-disciplinary projects requires substantial co-ordination to ensure that all cross discipline interactions between architects, engineers and quality surveyors are facilitated and all parties are constantly aware of the ever changing state of the project. Due to this limitation, most of the decisions within the supply chain are made on an ad-hoc basis rather than systematically [40].

Ad-hoc based decision making can lead to two problems [46]. First, some of the materials are purchased during construction immediately prior to their being required and this can result in delay or interruption to the schedule. The second problem is dealing with materials procured in large quantities without considering the actual production requirements at site. For example, this practice has much potential for wastage and inventory problem especially when the building component at site cannot be kept and managed adequately. Other than being inefficient in the project delivery process [47], this practice is also considered to be a lack of communication of design intent and rationale for example between designer and builder [26]. Consequently, these problems lead to design inefficiency, unnecessary liability claims, increases in design time and cost variations, and inadequate pre- and post-design specifications which will ultimately affect the project coordination and schedule [48, 49, 26]. In the case of projects that do not meet the owner’s expectations because of low quality productivity, the process of redesign by the consultant (designer) will occur, thus delaying the completion of work by the contractor. Lack of appropriate communication or poorly communicated design changes among design team members is a major reason for the failure of many projects that do not meet the set expectations [44,50].

Lack of Client Focus: In general, the management of design and engineering is felt to be problematic in construction projects [19]. This problem could be seen clearly from the separation of design and construction process through traditional contracting practice. Many clients have the wrong justification or misconception of the traditional construction process namely, ‘if clients accept design and construction as two separate independent functions, this will raise the quality of the work.’ This type of working environment will limit clients to actively get involved in the whole thus prevent from optimising full co-operation and teamwork during the design solution process. This leads to a lack of continuity and ineffective responses to changes in the delivery process [9]. In addition, the traditional design and construction process hinders design and construction knowledge integration besides diminishing the opportunity for professionals or contractors to influence design decisions [1]. It is because each project participant in this traditional practice is a separate entity and, therefore, there is no overall management and coordination in the procurement process [51].

Adversarial Culture: The construction industry is well-known as a complex business, with its very essence based on one-off projects and temporary relationships. As highlighted earlier, the problem of fragmentation not only exists in project relationships, but also in the project process whether conventional (i.e. mortar and brick system) or modern methods of construction (i.e. precast technology, etc) are used [53, 52]. For example, current industry structure has many potential points of conflict where participants attempt to pass on the risk to others. It is clearly present in the diversification of the goals of the designer and builder, where “the designer wants a functional design
that reflects his philosophy and the builder wants a buildable product within reasonable risk limitations” [35]. This situation clearly shows ‘conflicts, inconsistencies and mismatches’ between all of project team members [54] which is possibly due to simple misunderstandings or assumptions mainly caused by the current traditional design and construction practice [55]. Construction industry, in general, is fragmented and uncoordinated [56], riddled with a lack of trust, non-client focused, inefficient and expensive; it has no effective forum where all the constituent parts come together to thrash out issues of the day. The industry needs an effective forum where all stakeholders can come together to discuss the important issues of the day and then communicate with the government and its regulatory bodies. A study in Singapore [57], ‘Re-inventing Construction’, criticized the performance of the industry and identified fragmentation and segregation of design and construction activities as the main barriers to improved investment and development. In order improve business and market conditions that meet customer demands and expectations, the study identified that the construction industry needs further integration and greater innovation effort [57].

4 Conclusions

Based on the discussion above, it shows that, typically, fragmentation within the construction industry arises from two areas within the traditional construction process; the construction work process where the most significant division is in the separation of the design and construction phase, and the construction structure itself. However, it shows that more studies are required to be focused on fragmentation of the design and construction work process as this best reflects the current demand by the industry. This initiative is also a response to the proposal by Lathan [31] that challenged the construction industry to work towards more collaborative and integrated delivery approaches. It is also supported by the reports Egan [10] by suggesting that process and team integration are key drivers of change necessary for the industry to become more successful. In addition, the recent report by Malaysian Construction Industry Development Board revealed that the integration of design, manufacturing and construction process, especially in the Industrialized Building System (IBS) projects, is extremely important. The report also suggested that by implementing an integrated approach in design and construction process, the fragmentation gaps could be minimized. Therefore, it is recommended that for the future study should focus towards the development of best practice or approach of integrated procurement, concepts, principles etc. in more detail in order for overcoming the issues of fragmentation in effectively.
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